ELSEVIER



Journal of Power Sources



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jpowsour

Electrochemically-tuned luminescence of a $[Ru(bpy)_2(tatp)]^{2+}$ -sensitized TiO₂ anode and its applications to photo-stimulated guanine/H₂O₂ fuel cells

Jiangyang Shao^a, Ting Sun^b, Shibo Ji^a, Hong Li^{a,*}, Sheng Lan^b, Weishan Li^a

^a Key Lab of Technology on Electrochemical Energy Storage and Power Generation in Guangdong Universities, School of Chemistry and Environment, South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510006, PR China

^b Lab of Photonic Information Technology, School for Information and Optoelectronic Science and Technology, South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510006, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 4 June 2011 Received in revised form 1 July 2011 Accepted 5 July 2011 Available online 12 July 2011

Keywords: Polypyridyl ruthenium(II) complex Guanine Fuel cell Electrocatalytic oxidation Photoluminescence

ABSTRACT

A phenazine-containing Ru(II) complex [Ru(bpy)₂(tatp)]²⁺ (bpy = 2,2'-bipyridine and tatp = 1,4,8,9-tetraaza-triphenylene) is first applied to a modification of the nano-TiO₂/indium-tin oxide (ITO) electrode by the method of repetitive voltammetric sweeping. The resulting [Ru(bpy)₂(tatp)]²⁺-modified TiO₂ electrode shows two pairs of well-defined redox waves and excellent electrocatalytic activity for the oxidation of guanine. [Ru(bpy)₂(tatp)]²⁺ on TiO₂ surfaces exhibits intense absorbance and photoluminescence in visible region, revealed by absorption spectra, emission spectra and fluorescence microscope. While [Ru(bpy)₂(tatp)]²⁺-sensitized TiO₂ is functionalized as an anode to combine with a continuous wave green laser via an optical microscope, the luminescence of Ru(II)-based excited states can be enhanced by the oxidation of guanine. Furthermore, the [Ru(bpy)₂(tatp)]²⁺-sensitized TiO₂ electrode is used as photoanode and hemoglobin-modified single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs) as cathode for the elaboration of a photo-stimulated guanine/H₂O₂ fuel cell with a saturated KCI salt-bridge. It becomes evident that the photo-stimulated fuel cell performance depends strongly on the excited states of Ru(II) complex-sensitized anodes as well as the electrocatalytic oxidation of guanine. This study provides an electrochemically-tuned luminescence method for better evaluating contributions of the sensitizer excited states to photo-stimulated fuel cells.

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Polypyridyl ruthenium(II) complexes have received considerable interest and found applications in many fields due to a series of desirable advantages such as excellent electrochemical or photochemical activity [1,2] and thermal stability [3], tunable emission colors [4] and photo-sensitive properties by varying ligand structure [5,6]. $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+}$ (bpy = 2,2'-bipyridine) and related complexes have been used as photo-oxidants or electrocatalytic mediators for biochemical and medical analysis [7-9]. Because DNA oxidative damage always leads to the permanent oxidation of guanine, which is the most easily oxidized nitrogenous base, the Ru(II) complexes have been applied to the catalytic oxidation of guanine [10–12]. To date, the oxidative mechanism of guanine using Ru(II) complexes as photo-oxidants or electrocatalytic mediators in solutions has been well-documented, however there have been very few photo-induced electrocatalytic studies of guanine oxidation at a catalyst-modified electrode surface [13,14]. This information would be useful for the design of spectroscopic or electrochemical

DNA biosensors [15]. Recently, a photoelectrochemical DNA sensor has been developed for the rapid detection of DNA damage by the photogenerated Ru(III) complexes [13].

In addition to their use for the oxidation of guanine as photooxidants or electro-catalysts, some Ru(II) complexes have served as the sensitizers for photo-electrochemical solar cells [14-16]. The Ru(II)-based sensitizers were usually assembled on the semiconductor electrode surfaces for absorbing ultraviolet or visible light [17–19]. For example, a classical dye-sensitized (Grätzel) solar cell employing Ru(II) complex-sensitized nanocrystalline TiO₂ thin film photo-anodes and I/I₃⁻ redox electrolytes has been intensively studied [20,21]. In order to simultaneously convert light energy and chemical energy into electricity, a biofuel cell has been combined with photo-electrochemical solar cells, resulting in hybrid photoelectrochemical biofuel cells [22,23]. The Ru(II) complexsensitized semiconductor electrodes were used as photo-anodes with glucose as typical fuels. The anodic reactions were coupled to cathodic redox ones through an ion-permeable membrane in a twocompartment electrochemical cell [24]. A non-polarizable, highly reversible reference electrode or O₂ reduction catalytic electrode was usually employed as fuel cell cathodes [25,26].

Our laboratory has studied the photoluminescence of $[Ru(bpy)_2(tatp)]^{2+}$ and $[Ru(bpy)_2(dppz)]^{2+}$ incorporated in

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 20 39310068; fax: +86 20 39310187. *E-mail address*: lihong@scnu.edu.cn (H. Li).

^{0378-7753/\$ -} see front matter © 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jpowsour.2011.07.007



Fig. 1. Schematic configuration of a photo-stimulated guanine/H₂O₂ fuel cell using Ru(II) complex-sensitized TiO₂ as photoanode and Hb/SWCNT as cathode. The inserted plots a and b show the structures of Ru(II) complexes and fluorescence microscopic image of [Ru(bpy)₂(tatp)]²⁺, respectively.

DNA aggregates (bpy=2,2'-bipyridine, tatp=1,4,8,9-tetra-azatriphenylene and dppz=dipyrido[3,2-a:2',3'-c]phenazine) on the electrode surfaces with appropriate applied electrode potentials under the excitation of a continuous wave green laser [27,28]. Interestingly, we have found that the $[Ru(bpy)_2(tatp)]^{2+}$ based luminescence can be enhanced by an anodic potential whereas [Ru(bpy)₂(dppz)]²⁺ showed an opposite result. In the current work, an attempt is made to estimate the contributions of both electrochemically-tuned luminescence of Ru(II)-based excited states and electrocatalytic oxidation of guanine to the photo-stimulated guanine/H₂O₂ fuel cell. The Ru(II)-sensitized anodes possessing the luminescence either quenched by external electric field or enhanced by electrocatalytic oxidation of guanine, may be of value to the fabrication of photostimulated fuel cells. To our knowledge, this work for the first time presents an electrochemically-tuned luminescence application in photo-stimulated fuel cells.

2. Experimental

2.1. Chemicals and materials

Tris-hydroxy methyl amino-methane (Tris) from Sigma Chem. Co. St. Louis, Mo, USA was used to prepare buffer solutions. The single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs) with 2–5 nm inside diameter and 10–30 μ m length were obtained from Chengdu Organic Chemistry Co., China. The SWCNTs were dispersed with sodium dodecylsulfate (SDS) from Damao Chem. Co., Tianjin, China. Guanine (Boao Bioscience Tech. Co., Shanghai, China) and hemoglobin (Qiyun Co., Guangzhou, China) were used as received. Unless otherwise noted, the buffer solution was 10 mmol L⁻¹ Tris/50 mmol L⁻¹ NaCl of pH 7.2, prepared with doubly distilled water. [Ru(bpy)₂(tatp)](ClO₄)₂ and [Ru(bpy)₂(dppz)](ClO₄)₂ were synthesized following procedures reported previously [29–31]. The structures of [Ru(bpy)₂(tatp)]²⁺ and [Ru(bpy)₂(dppz)]²⁺ were shown in Fig. 1.

2.2. Preparation of $[Ru(bpy)_2(tatp)]^{2+}$ -sensitized TiO₂ thin layer electrode

An indium tin oxide (ITO)-coated glass (coating 90 nm, sheet resistance = 20Ω /square, Nanbo Co., Shenzhen, China) was cleaned in an ultrasonic cleaner sequentially with ethanol and de-ionized water for 20 min. A coating of homemade nanoparticulate TiO₂ sol-gel was spread on a piece of ITO-coated glass and dried in a warm box of 100 °C for 20 min [32]. The TiO₂ thin film was then sintered at 450 °C within 30 min for adhering strongly to the ITO surface. Subsequently, the [Ru(bpy)₂(tatp)]²⁺ sensitizer was assembled onto the TiO₂ electrode surfaces by the method of repetitive voltammetric sweeping (Fig. 2). The resulting electrodes were rinsed with buffer solution for 5 min.

2.3. Preparation of hemoglobin-(Hb) modified SWCNT thin layer electrode

The SWCNT suspensions were first prepared by dispersing 3 mg SWCNTs into 5 mL water containing 5 mg SDS with the aid of ultrasonic agitation (200 W) for ca. 40 min [33]. Next, the SWCNT-modified ITO electrode was prepared by dropping 20 μ L of the SWCNT suspensions onto the ITO electrode surface and then evaporating the solvent under an infrared lamp for 30 min. Subsequently, the Hb dissolved in buffer solution was immobilized by placing the Hb solution (2.0 g L⁻¹, 0.4 mL) drop-wise onto the SWCNT/ITO surfaces, followed by solvent evaporation/drying at room temperature. The resulting Hb-modified SWCNT thin layer electrode was also rinsed with buffer solution for 5 min.

2.4. Instruments and experimental conditions

Steady-state emission spectra were recorded using a Hitachi RF-2500 fluorescence spectrophotometer (Hitachi city, Japan). The samples were excited at 450 nm. The fluorescence image was taken using a Nikon Eclipse TS100 inverted fluorescence microscope (Tokyo, Japan), equipped with a 50-W mercury lamp. The images were captured with a Nikon E4500 camera with blue



Fig. 2. (a) Repetitive DPVs of 0.1 mmol L^{-1} [Ru(bpy)₂(tatp)]²⁺ on TiO₂-modified ITO electrodes and (b) CVs performed after 21 cycles DPVs at different scan rate (V s⁻¹): (1) 0.4, (2) 0.3, (3) 0.2, (4) 0.15, (5) 0.1, (6) 0.05. The inset shows the current values of peak I and peak II as a function of scan rate.

light radiation. Voltammetric measurement was performed on a CHI660a electrochemical system (Chenhua Co., Shanghai, China) in a regular three-electrode cell with 0.4 mL test solution. The electrochemically-tuned emission spectra were recorded using a home-built system similar to that used in our previous report [27]. This system consisted of an optical microscope (Zeiss Axio Observer A1, Oberkochen, Germany), a continuous wave (CW) green laser source (532 nm, Verdi-5, Coherent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) and an electrochemical setup. The electrocatalytic reduction of H₂O₂ on the Hb-modified SWCNT thin layer electrode was performed under nitrogen atmosphere. The platinum sheet was used as counter electrode and saturated calomel electrode (SCE) as the reference. The measurement of photo-stimulated fuel cell performance was performed in a two-compartment cell. An ultraviolet UV lamp (8W) was as the light source of photo-stimulated fuel cells. The back side (non-conductive, not TiO₂ coated) of the photoanodes faced the excitation beam. The illuminated area was ca. 2.3 cm². The anodic and cathodic compartments were filled with 3 mL buffer solutions containing 0.2 mmol L^{-1} guanine and 1.0 mmol L^{-1} H₂O₂, respectively. All the experiments were performed at room temperature (23-25°C).



Fig. 3. (a) Electronic absorption spectra and (b) emission spectra of $[Ru(bpy)_2(tatp)]^{2+}$ immobilized on TiO₂ surfaces.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Fabrication and characterization of $[Ru(bpy)_2(tatp)]^{2+}$ on TiO_2 surfaces

The repetitive differential pulse voltammograms (DPVs) of $[Ru(bpy)_2(tatp)]^{2+}$ on TiO₂ surfaces are shown in Fig. 2a. In these figures, an anodic wave at 1.050 V, labeled as wave I, is observed. Since a similar anodic peak was previously reported for $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+}$ [34,35], this anodic wave I is assigned to the oxidation from Ru(II) to Ru(III). With increasing voltammetric sweep number, a new wave II appears at 0.680 V, which is far more negative than that of wave I.

In order to better assign waves I and II, the effect of scan rate on the electrochemical behavior of $[Ru(bpy)_2(tatp)]^{2+}$ on TiO₂/ITO electrodes was studied. After repetitive differential pulse voltammetric scans of 21 cycles, cyclic voltammograms (CVs) in Fig. 2b shows an anodic $(E_{p,a})$ peak at 1.116V and a cathodic $(E_{p,c})$ peak at 1.028V (peak I), with a peak separation, ΔE_p of 88 mV and a formal potential, $E^{\circ\prime}$ of 1.072 V, taken as the half of the sum of $E_{p,a}$ and $E_{p,c}$. The current ratio of anodic peak to cathodic peak was close to 1.0 for all the scan rates, while the peak currents were proportional to scan rate (v) over the range from 0.4 to $0.05 V s^{-1}$ (inset). Similarly to peak I, the peak II currents increase linearly with the rising scan rate. These electrochemical characteristics indicate that $[Ru(bpy)_2(tatp)]^{2+}$ has been adsorbed onto TiO₂ surfaces [36]. The peaks I and II are therefore ascribed to the $[Ru(bpy)_2(tatp)]^{3+/2+}$ reactions adsorbed weakly and strongly on TiO₂ surfaces in the process of voltammetric sweeping, respectively. The free energy of [Ru(bpy)₂(tatp)]³⁺ adsorption arising from interactions between phenazine unit in the tatp ligand and TiO₂, facilitates the adsorption $[Ru(bpy)_2(tatp)]^{3+/2+}$ on TiO₂ surfaces by repetitive voltammetric sweeping [37]. The fluorescence image shown in Fig. 1 further illustrates that $[Ru(bpy)_2(tatp)]^{2+}$ in buffer solutions can be adsorbed onto TiO₂ surfaces.

Fig. 3 shows absorption spectra and emission spectra of $[\operatorname{Ru}(\operatorname{bpy})_2(\operatorname{tatp})]^{2+}$ on TiO₂ surfaces. A distinct absorption band at 458 nm is observed, arising from $\operatorname{Ru}(d\pi) \rightarrow \operatorname{bpy}(\pi^*)$ and $\operatorname{Ru}(d\pi) \rightarrow \operatorname{tatp}(\pi^*)$ transitions [38]. An intense emission peak appears at 603 nm. This emission peak is based on the $d\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ electron transition from Ru(II)-to-ligand [39]. These results imply that $[\operatorname{Ru}(\operatorname{bpy})_2(\operatorname{tatp})]^{2+}$ on TiO₂ surfaces possesses excellent photosensitive and luminescent properties.



Fig. 4. DPVs of $[Ru(bpy)_2(tatp)]^{2+}$ on TiO₂-modified ITO electrodes in the absence (solid line) and presence of 0.2 mmol L⁻¹ guanine (dotted line). The dashed line shows the DPV of 0.2 mmol L⁻¹ guanine.

3.2. Electrocatalytic oxidation of guanine by $[Ru(bpy)_2(tatp)]^{2+}$ on TiO₂ surfaces

As reported before, [Ru(bpy)₃]²⁺ and related complexes in solutions have the ability to induce the oxidation of guanine [10,11]. It is interesting to know whether $[Ru(bpy)_2(tatp)]^{2+}$ on TiO₂ surfaces could electrochemically catalyze the oxidation of guanine. As shown in Fig. 4, $[Ru(bpy)_2(tatp)]^{2+}$ on TiO₂ surfaces in the absence of guanine shows two well-defined oxidative waves (peaks I and II) at 0.694 V and 1.030 V, assigned to the oxidation of $[Ru(bpy)_2(tatp)]^{2+}$ to $[Ru(bpy)_2(tatp)]^{3+}$ adsorbed weakly and strongly on TiO₂ surfaces (solid line), respectively. These observations are in good agreement with the results obtained from cyclic voltammetry. In the presence of 0.2 mmol L^{-1} guanine (dotted line), the peak currents of both oxidative waves have an obvious increase compared with the case of $[Ru(bpy)_2(tatp)]^{2+}$ alone. For the oxidation (I_C) of guanine alone (dashed line), the broad wave at 0.823 V is considered to be the direct oxidation of guanine on TiO₂ surfaces. Thus, the enhanced currents from the dotted line illustrate that $[Ru(bpy)_2(tatp)]^{2+}$ adsorbed weakly and strongly on TiO₂ surfaces can electrochemically catalyze the oxidation of guanine in buffer solutions.

3.3. In-situ electrochemically tuned luminescence of Ru(II) complex-based anodes

With the encouraging results mentioned above, an attempt was made to study the in situ electrochemically tuned luminescence of $Ru(bpy)_2(tatp)]^{2+}/TiO_2$ anodes under the excitation of a continuous wave (CW) green laser. As shown in Fig. 5a, the emission spectra of [Ru(bpy)₂(tatp)]²⁺ on TiO₂ surfaces show a broad emission peak at 606 nm under open-circuit conditions. The application of 1.2 V anodic potential did not cause any noticeable shift in the position of emission peak, implying that the species responsible for the excitation are identical and hence the chemical nature of $[Ru(bpy)_2(tatp)]^{2+}$ is independent of applied external electric fields. However, an applied electrode potential of 1.2 V above the open circuit potential leads to a luminescence enhancement of 58.9%. This finding reveals that the luminescence of $[Ru(bpy)_2(tatp)]^{2+}/TiO_2$ is influenced by the external electric field. When the green laser is turned off, the application of 1.2V anodic potential does not lead to a detectable luminescent signal under our experimental



Fig. 5. Emission spectra of $[Ru(bpy)_2(tatp)]^{2+}$ immobilized on the TiO_2 -modified ITO electrodes in the absence (a) and presence of 0.2 mmol L⁻¹ guanine (b) under the excitation of a CW green laser under open-circuit conditions (1) and at 1.2 V anodic potential holding for 1 min (2) or 11 min (3). The dashed line 4 corresponds to the emission spectra in the absence of a CW green laser at 1.2 V anodic potential. PMT biased voltage: -470 V.

conditions, as shown by the dashed line in Fig. 5a. It is clear that the contribution from electroluminescence (or electrochemiluminescence) at 1.2 V applied electrode potential in the absence of laser is too low to be detected by our photo-multiplier tube (PMT). Combined with previous reports [27,28], $[Ru(bpy)_2(tatp)]^{2+}$ excited states from laser irradiation can release electrons to recombine with the holes from TiO₂ surfaces. Thus, excitons are formed on $[Ru(bpy)_2(tatp)]^{2+}/TiO_2$ anodes, leading to an increase of the luminescence [40,41].

As in our previous reports [28], another classical Ru(II) complex $[Ru(bpy)_2(dppz)]^{2+}$ (dppz = dipyrido[3,2-*a*:2',3'-*c*] phenazine) was also studied for comparison. Because $[Ru(bpy)_2(dppz)]^{2+}$ only emits very weak luminescence in aqueous solutions, the DNA was added to enhance the emission of the complex via a classical intercalation [42]. As shown in Fig. 6, two Ru(II) complexes intercalated in DNA aggregates on TiO₂ surfaces show a very different change in the luminescence as an external electric field is added. The result reveals that the electrons from $[Ru(bpy)_2(dppz)]^{2+}$ excited states can inject into TiO₂/ITO anodes, but the electrons from $[Ru(bpy)_2(tatp)]^{2+}$ excited states recombine with holes from TiO₂/ITO anodes to yield excitons. As a result, the introduction of an anodic potential (1.2 V) makes the luminescence of $[Ru(bpy)_2(dppz)]^{2+}$ in DNA aggregates at 615 nm quenched by 32.6%, but that of $[Ru(bpy)_2(tatp)]^{2+}$ at 609 nm increased by 70.5%.



Fig. 6. Emission spectra of $[Ru(bpy)_2(tatp)]^{2+}$ (a) or $[Ru(bpy)_2(dppz)]^{2+}$ (b) in DNA aggregates immobilized on TiO₂ electrodes under the excitation of a CW green laser tuned by anodic potentials (*E*, *V*): (1) open circuit potential, (2) 1.2. PMT biased voltage: -470 V. The dashed line 3 corresponds to the emission spectra in the absence of a CW green laser at 1.2 V anodic potential.

This finding may facilitate the fabrication of photo-stimulated fuel cells (as described below).

3.4. Luminescence of Ru(II) complex-based anodes enhanced by guanine oxidation

The results mentioned above show that $[Ru(bpy)_2(tatp)]^{2+}$ absorbed on TiO₂ surfaces can electrochemically catalyze the oxidation of guanine. It is now of interest to know whether the photoluminescence of $[Ru(bpy)_2(tatp)]^{2+}$ could be enhanced by the electrocatalytic oxidation of guanine.

In the presence of guanine, as depicted in Fig. 5b, the luminescence of $[Ru(bpy)_2(tatp)]^{2+}/TiO_2$ is stronger than the case without guanine under open-circuit conditions or at 1.2 V anodic potential. When the green laser was turned off, the application of 1.2 V anodic potential did not lead to a detectable luminescence (dashed line), suggesting that the observed luminescence in the presence of guanine is based on the Ru(II)-based excited states under the excitation of a green laser. The presence of guanine can increase the luminescence intensity of $[Ru(bpy)_2(tatp)]^{2+}$ by 125.2% under open-circuit conditions and by 187.7% at 1.2 V holding for 1 min, respectively. This result reveals that the electrocatalytic oxidation of guanine by $[Ru(bpy)_2(tatp)]^{2+}$ may enhance the luminescence of $[Ru(bpy)_2(tatp)]^{2+}$ on TiO₂ surfaces under the excitation of a green laser. This proposition is proved by the luminescence change



Fig. 7. CVs of the Hb-modified SWCNT electrode in buffer solutions under nitrogen atmosphere at different scan rates (V s⁻¹): (1) 0.4, (2) 0.3, (3) 0.2, (4) 0.15, (5) 0.1, (6) 0.05.

upon increasing the hold time at 1.2 V. When this was 11 min, the luminescence in the presence of guanine showed a larger enhancement (255.5%) in contrast to that without guanine. These results reveal that the photoluminescence of $[Ru(bpy)_2(tatp)]^{2+}$ on TiO₂ surfaces can be enhanced by the electrocatalytic oxidation of guanine. Ru(II)-based excited states release electrons to yield Ru(III) species, which oxidizes guanine to regenerate the Ru(II) species. As a result, the photoluminescence of $[Ru(bpy)_2(tatp)]^{2+}$ on TiO₂ surfaces under laser irradiation at 1.2 V anodic potential is enhanced by the oxidation of guanine.

3.5. Electrocatalytic reduction of Hb-modified SWCNT thin layer electrodes

As is well-known, because the direct electron transfer between hemoglobin (Hb) and electrode is usually difficult due to the deeply embedded redox-active centers [43], single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs) are employed to improve the redox reversibility and electrocatalytic activity of Hb [44,45]. In order to minimize restrictions of cathodic reactions on the cell performance, the Hb-modified SWCNT thin layer electrode was employed for the electrocatalytic reduction of H_2O_2 . As shown in Fig. 7, CVs of Hb on SWCNT surfaces show a pair of well-defined redox waves, ascribed to Fe(III)/Fe(II) reactions of Hb. In the presence of H_2O_2 , linear sweep voltammograms (LSVs) of the Hb/SWCNT electrode show a larger cathodic current than that of Hb or H_2O_2 alone (Fig. 8), revealing that H_2O_2 can be electrocatalytically reduced on the Hb/SWCNT thin layer electrode.

3.6. Performances of photo-stimulated guanine/H₂O₂ fuel cell

With the encouraging results mentioned above, we attempt to fabricate a photo-stimulated fuel cell as shown in Fig. 1. Ru(II) complex-sensitized TiO₂ thin layer is functionalized as photoanode, Hb-modified SWCNT thin layer as cathode, guanine/H₂O₂ as oxidized/reduced fuels, and a U-shaped glass tube filled with saturated KCl gel as salt bridge. Because the Ru(II) complexes adsorbed on TiO₂ surfaces can catalyze the oxidation of guanine in the absence and presence of laser irradiation, Ru(II) complex-sensitized TiO₂ was chosen as photoanode, and guanine as oxidized fuels. In addition, the results described above have shown that the luminescence of [Ru(bpy)₂(tatp)]²⁺-based anodes



Fig. 8. LSVs of the Hb-modified SWCNT electrode in the absence (solid line) and presence of 1.0 mmol L⁻¹ H₂O₂ (dotted line) under nitrogen atmosphere at 0.05 V s⁻¹ scan rate. The dashed line shows the LSV of 1.0 mmol L⁻¹ H₂O₂ on the SWCNT-modified ITO electrode.

is enhanced by the external electric field whereas the luminescence of [Ru(bpy)₂(dppz)]²⁺-based anodes is quenched. We suggest that the photoanode possessing the luminescence quenched by the external electric field should facilitate the electron transfer from excited states to cathodes, resulting in higher efficiencies for photo-stimulated fuel cells. The performance of the fabricated cell proves this point. As shown in Figs. 9 and 10, the cell voltages using $[Ru(bpy)_2(tatp)]^{2+}$ -sensitized TiO₂ as the anode are -0.304 V in a dark chamber (light off) and -0.681 V under light irradiation (light on), respectively, indicating a negative shift of 0.377 V (solid line). For $[Ru(bpy)_2(dppz)]^{2+}$ -sensitized TiO₂ photoanodes (dashed line), the cell voltages show a negative shift of 0.534 V from -0.241 V (off) to -0.775 V (on). These results suggest that the light irradiation can excite the Ru(II) complex-sensitized TiO₂ anode to generate the excited states of Ru(II) complexes (Fig. 1), which inject electrons to ITO substrate via the TiO₂ thin layer, resulting in a negative shift of cell voltages. The [Ru(bpy)₂(dppz)]²⁺-sensitized TiO₂ anode can offer more useful contributions to the photo-induced fuel cell compared with the [Ru(bpy)₂(tatp)]²⁺/TiO₂ anode. While altering



Fig. 9. Effects of UV light (off and on) on cell voltage of $0.2 \text{ mmol } L^{-1}$ guanine/1.0 mmol L^{-1} H₂O₂ fuel cells using $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{tatp})]^{2+}$ (solid line) or $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{dppz})]^{2+}$ (dotted line) sensitized TiO₂ as anodes and Hb/SWCNT as cathodes.



Fig. 10. Cell current and power output from 0.2 mmol L⁻¹ guanine/1.0 mmol L⁻¹ H_2O_2 fuel cells using (a) $[Ru(bpy)_2(tatp)]^{2+}$ or (b) $Ru(bpy)_2(dpz)]^{2+}$ -sensitized TiO₂ as anodes and Hb/SWCNT as cathodes under the excitation of UV light by altering the external load from 1000 Ω to 19,000 Ω .

external loads from 1000 to 19000 Ω , the cell current and power output under light excitation were shown in Fig. 10. The assembled fuel cell using $[Ru(bpy)_2(tatp)]^{2+}$ -sensitized TiO₂ as the anode yields a maximum power density of 2.8 μ W cm⁻² at a cell voltage of 0.208 V, whereas the maximum power reaches 3.2 μ W cm⁻² at 0.253 V using $[Ru(bpy)_2(dppz)]^{2+}$ /TiO₂ as the anode. These results illustrate that the photosensitizers possessing the luminescence quenched by anodic electrode potentials are suitable for use as the anodes of photo-stimulated fuel cells.

4. Conclusions

A novel electrochemically-tuned luminescence technique has been developed for better evaluating contributions of the sensitizer excited states to photo-stimulated fuel cells. Combined with this technique, the photosensitizers possessing the luminescence quenched by anodic electrode potentials are suitable for use as the anodes of photo-stimulated fuel cells. From this study, the following conclusions are derived.

(1) A phenazine-containing Ru(II) complex can be adsorbed onto the nano-TiO₂ surfaces by repetitive voltammetric sweeping, showing two pairs of well-defined redox waves.

(2) The Ru(II) complexes adsorbed on TiO_2 electrodes can catalyze the oxidation of guanine in the absence and presence of light irradiation, which are functionalized as the photoanodes, and guanine as the oxidized fuels.

(3) On the basis of electrochemically-tuned photoluminescence properties of Ru(II) complex-sensitized TiO₂ anodes followed by the electrocatalytic oxidation of guanine, a photo-stimulated guanine/H₂O₂ fuel cell has been successfully fabricated.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education of China (No. 20094407120008) for their financial support.

References

- [1] L. Bijeire, B. Elias, J.P. Souchard, E. Gicquel, C. Moucheron, A.K. Mesmaeker, P. Vicendo, Bioelectrochemistry 45 (2006) 6160.
- [2] S. Luukkanen, M. Haukka, E. Eskelinen, T.A. Pakkanen, V. Lehtovuori, J. Kallioinen, P. Myllyperkiö, J. Korppi-Tommola, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 3 (2001) 1992.
- [3] P. Wang, C. Klein, R. Humphry-Baker, S.M. Zakeeruddin, M. Grätzel, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 127 (2005) 808.
- [4] J. Xu, J. Liang, J. Li, W. Yang, Langmuir 26 (2010) 15722.
- [5] A.D. Ryabov, R.L. Lagadec, H. Estevez, R.A. Toscano, S. Hernandez, L. Alexandrova, V.S. Kurova, A. Fischer, C. Sirlin, M. Pfeffer, Inorg. Chem. 44 (2005)1626.
- [6] R.J. Forster, E. Figgemeier, A.C. Lees, J. Hjelm, J.G. Vos, Langmuir 16 (2000) 7867. [7] D.H. Johnston, K.C. Glasgow, H.H. Thorp, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 117 (1995) 8933.
- [8] I.V. Yang, H.H. Thorp, Inorg. Chem. 39 (2000) 4969.
- [9] M.F. Sistare, S.J. Codden, G. Heimlich, H.H. Thorp, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 122 (2000) 4742.
- [10] M.R. Arkin, E.D.A. Stemp, S.C. Pulver, J.K. Barton, Chem. Biol. 4 (1997) 389.
- [11] C.C. Cheng, J.G. Goll, G.A. Neyhart, T.W. Welch, P. Singh, H.H. Thorp, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 117 (1995) 2970.
- [12] P.K.L. Fu, P.M. Bradley, D. van Loyen, H. Dürr, S.H. Bossmann, C. Turro, Inorg. Chem. 41 (2002) 3808.
- [13] M. Liang, L.H. Guo, Environ. Sci. Technol. 41 (2007) 658.
- [14] C.Y. Chen, M. Wang, J. Li, N. Pootrakulchote, L. Alibabaei, C. Ngoc-le, J. Decoppet, J. Tsai, C. Gratzel, C.G. Wu, S.M. Zakeeruddin, M. Grätzel, ACS Nano 3 (2009) 3103
- [15] G. Sauve, M.E. Cass, G. Coia, S.J. Doig, I. Lauermann, K.E. Pomykal, N.S. Lewis, J. Phys. Chem. B 104 (2000) 6821.
- [16] T. Peng, D. Ke, P. Cai, K. Dai, L. Ma, L. Zan, J. Power Sources 180 (2008) 498.
- [17] S.H. Bossmann, C. Turro, C. Schnabel, M.R. Pokhrel, L.M. Payawan Jr., B. Baumeister, M. Wörner, J. Phys. Chem. B 105 (2001) 5374.

- [18] R. Buscaino, C. Baiocchi, C. Barolo, C. Medana, M. Grätzel, M.K. Nazeeruddin, G. Viscardi, Inorg. Chim. Acta 361 (2008) 798.
- [19] C.J. Kleverlaan, M.T. Indelli, C.A. Bignozzi, L. Pavanin, F. Scandola, G.M. Hasselman, G.J. Meyer, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 122 (2000) 2840.
- [20] M.K. Nazeeruddin, E. Muller, R. Humphry-Baker, N. Vlachopoulos, M. Grätzel, J. Chem. Soc.: Dalton Trans. 23 (1997) 4571.
- [21] T.A. Heimer, E.J. Heilweil, C.A. Bignozzi, G.J. Meyer, J. Phys.Chem. A 104 (2000) 4256.
- [22] M. Hambourger, M. Gervaldo, D. Svedruzic, P.W. King, D. Gust, M. Ghirardi, A.L. Moore, T.A. Moore, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 130 (2008) 2015.
- [23] L. de la Garza, G. Jeong, P.A. Liddell, T. Sotomura, T.A. Moore, A.L. Moore, D. Gust, J. Phys. Chem. B 107 (2003) 10252.
- [24] Z. Dong, S.J. Kennedy, Y. Wu, J. Power Sources 196 (2011) 4886.
- [25] Y.J. Kim, W.H. Hong, S.I. Woo, H.K. Lee, J. Power Sources 159 (2006) 491.
- [26] J. Herranz, M. Lefèvre, N. Larouche, B. Stansfield, J.P. Dodelet, J. Phys. Chem. C 111 (2007) 19033.
- [27] J.Y. Shao, T. Sun, S.B. Ji, H. Li, S. Lan, Z. Xu, Chem. Phys. Lett. 492 (2010) 170.
- [28] Q. Guo, J. Shao, H. Liu, H. Li, S. Lan, Electrochim. Acta 56 (2011) 1432.
- [29] L.A. Zwelling, S. Michaels, H. Schwartz, P.P. Dobson, K.W. Kohn, Cancer Res. 41 (1981) 640.
- [30] A.E. Friedman, J.C. Chambron, J.P. Sauvage, N.J. Turro, J.K. Barton, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 112 (1990) 4960.
- [31] R.M. Hartshorn, J.K. Barton, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 114 (1992) 5919.
- [32] D.J. Kim, S.H. Hahn, S.H. Oh, E.J. Kim, Mater. Lett. 57 (2002) 355.
- [33] T.K. Leeuw, D.A. Tsyboulski, P.N. Nikolaev, S.M. Bachilo, S. Arepalli, R.B. Weisman, Nano Lett. 8 (2008) 826.
- [34] X.X. Yan, H. Li, Z. Xu, W.S. Li, Bioelectrochemistry 74 (2009) 310.
- [35] L. Mao, R. Yuan, Y. Chai, Y. Zhuo, X. Yang, Sens. Actuators B: Chem. 149 (2010) 226.
- [36] W. Zou, L. Wang, B. Lu, H. Li, H. Chen, J. Appl. Electrochem. 39 (2009) 2015.
- [37] H. Li, Z.H. Xu, D.W. Pang, J.Z. Wu, L.N. Ji, Z.H. Lin, Electrochim. Acta 51 (2006) 1996.
- [38] M.J. Han, L.H. Gao, Y.Y. Lü, K.Z. Wang, J. Phys. Chem. B 110 (2006) 2364.
- [39] M.K. Brennman, T.J. Meyer, J.M. Papanikolas, J. Phys. Chem. A 108 (2004) 9938. [40] L. Hammarström, L.C. Sun, B. Åkermark, S. Styring, Spectrochim. Acta A 57
- (2001) 2145. [41] E. Rajkumar, S. Rajagopal, P. Ramamurthy, M. Vairamani, Inorg. Chim. Acta 362
- (2009) 1629.
- [42] Y. Jenkins, A.E. Friedman, N.J. Turro, J.K. Barton, Biochemistry 31 (1992) 10809.
 - [43] J.F. Rusling, Acc. Chem. Res. 31 (1998) 363.
 - [44] C. Guo, F. Hu, C.M. Li, P.K. Shen, Biosens. Bioelectron. 24 (2008) 819.
 - [45] S. Chen, R. Yuan, Y. Chai, L. Zhang, N. Wang, X. Li, Biosens. Bioelectron. 22 (2007) 1268